

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
08/950,902	10/15/1997	YOSHIHIDE HAGIWARA	S-2418	9924	
7:	590 04/19/2002				
SHERMAN & SHALLOWAY 413 NORTH WASHINGTON STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			SHERRER, CUR	SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	23	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	08/950,902	<u> </u>	Hagiwara				
merview Sammary	Examiner Curtis E. She	rrer	Group Art Unit 1761				
	Ourus E. Sile		1701				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) Curtis E. Sherrer	(3)			-			
(2) Larry Miller, Reg. #30,339	(4)						
Date of Interview Apr 18, 2002	_						
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's rep	oresentativo	e)				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, br	ief descript	ion:				
				-			
Claim(s) discussed: All claims in general, specifically, claim	n 1						
Identification of prior art discussed: Papazian and Rizzi et al.							
Papazian and Kizzi et al.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	. g)🛛 was not rea	ched. h)⊑] N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	I nature of what was	agreed to i	f an agreement was	reached, or			
Applicant will consider submitting an amended claim 1 (se	e attached version of	claim 1).	The Examiner finds	said			
amended claim 1 acceptable to overcome the standing 11.							
Applicant will consider presenting further arguments as to							
e.g., there are significant patentable distinctions between the prior art and the invention and that there is no motivation to combine the cited art. Will consider discussing the unexpected results as found in the specification.							
combine the cited art. The consider discussing the arresponding	,0100 100010 00 10010						
							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the ex- copy of the amendme	aminer agre ents that w	eed would render the olair	e claims ns allowable is			
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the sub	stance of	the interview (if box	is checked).			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply t	o the last Office act FILE A STATEMENT	tion has FOF THE			
			ASS.				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

CURTIS E. SHERRER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1761

MARKED-UP VERSION OF AMENDED CLAIM

Claim 1. (Thrice amended) A process for the [re-production of coffee flavor] production of an alcoholic drink having coffee flavor from an extraction residue of roasted coffee beans, which comprises adding a saccharide to an extraction residue of roasted coffee beans and fermenting the resulting mixture in the presence of wine yeast for the brewing of alcoholic wine liquors.